

Atty. Dkt. No. 200209179-1IN THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 12 2008

- 1 1. (Canceled)
- 1 2. (Currently Amended) A method of determining a placement of services of a
2 distributed application onto nodes of a distributed resource infrastructure comprising
3 the steps of:
4 establishing an application model of the services comprising transport
5 demands between the services;
6 establishing an infrastructure model of the nodes comprising transport
7 capacities between the nodes;
8 forming an integer program that comprises:
9 a set of placement variables for a combination of the services and the
10 nodes, each of the placement variables indicating whether a particular service
11 is located on a particular node;
12 communication constraints between node pairs which ensure that a sum of
13 the transport demands between a particular node pair does not exceed the
14 transport capacity between the particular node pair, each term of the sum
15 comprising a product of a first placement variable, a second placement
16 variable, and the transport demand between the services associated with the
17 first and second placement variables; and
18 an objective; and
19 employing a local search solution to solve the integer program which
20 determines the placement of the services onto the nodes, wherein the services are
21 assigned to the nodes according to a previous assignment and further comprising
22 assessing reassignment penalties for placements of the services that differ from
23 the previous assignments.

Atty. Dkt. No. 200209179-1

1 3. (Canceled)

1 4. (Original) The method of claim 2 wherein the objective comprises minimizing
2 communication traffic between the nodes.

1 5. (Original) The method of claim 2 wherein the application model further
2 comprises processing demands for the services.

1 6. (Original) The method of claim 5 wherein the infrastructure model further
2 comprises processing capacities for the nodes.

1 7. (Original) The method of claim 6 wherein the integer program further comprises
2 processing constraints which ensure that a sum of the processing demands for each of
3 the nodes does not exceed the processing capacity for the node.

1 8. (Original) The method of claim 7 wherein the objective comprises minimizing
2 communication traffic between the nodes and balancing the processing demands on
3 the nodes.

1 9. (Original) The method of claim 6 wherein the processing demands and the
2 processing capacities are normalized according to a processing criterion.

1 10. (Original) The method of claim 9 wherein the processing criterion comprises an
2 algorithm speed.

1 11. (Original) The method of claim 9 wherein the processing criterion comprises a
2 transaction speed.

1 12. (Original) The method of claim 9 wherein the processing capacities of the nodes
2 are found according to a look-up table in which different types of nodes have been
3 normalized according to the processing criterion.

Atty. Dkt. No. 200209179-1

- 1 13. (Original) The method of claim 2 wherein the application model further
2 comprises storage demands for the services.
- 1 14. (Original) The method of claim 13 wherein the infrastructure model further
2 comprises storage capacities for the nodes.
- 1 15. (Original) The method of claim 14 wherein the integer program further
2 comprises storage constraints which ensure that a sum of the storage demands for
3 each of the nodes does not exceed the storage capacity for the node.
- 1 16. (Original) The method of claim 2 wherein the integer program further comprises
2 placement constraints which ensure that each of the services is placed on one and
3 only one of the nodes.
- 1 17. (Canceled)
- 1 18. (Canceled)
- 1 19. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 2[[18]] wherein the integer program
2 further comprises a second objective that seeks to minimize the reassignment
3 penalties.
- 1 20. (Canceled)
- 1 21. (Canceled)
- 1 22. (Currently Amended) A computer readable memory comprising computer code
2 for directing a computer to make a determination of a placement of services of a
3 distributed application onto nodes of a distributed resource infrastructure, the
4 determination of the placement of the services onto the nodes comprising the steps of:

Atty. Dkt. No. 200209179-1

5 establishing an application model of the services comprising transport
6 demands between the services;
7 establishing an infrastructure model of the nodes comprising transport
8 capacities between the nodes;
9 forming an integer program that comprises:
10 a set of placement variables for a combination of the services and the
11 nodes, each of the placement variables indicating whether a particular service
12 is located on a particular node;
13 communication constraints between node pairs which ensure that a sum of
14 the transport demands between a particular node pair does not exceed the
15 transport capacity between the particular node pair, each term of the sum
16 comprising a product of a first placement variable, a second placement
17 variable, and the transport demand between the services associated with the
18 first and second placement variables; and
19 an objective; and
20 employing a local search solution to solve the integer program which
21 determines the placement of the services onto the nodes, wherein the services are
22 assigned to the nodes according to a previous assignment and further comprising
23 assessing reassignment penalties for placements of the services that differ from
24 the previous assignments.

1 23. (Canceled)

1 24. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 22 wherein the objective
2 comprises minimizing communication traffic between the nodes.

1 25. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 22 wherein the application
2 model further comprises processing demands for the services.

1 26. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 25 wherein the infrastructure
2 model further comprises processing capacities for the nodes.

Atty. Dkt. No. 200209179-1

1 27. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 26 wherein the integer
2 program further comprises processing constraints ensure that a sum of the processing
3 demands for each of the nodes does not exceed the processing capacity for the node.

1 28. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 27 wherein the objective
2 comprises balancing the processing demands on the nodes.

1 29. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 26 wherein the processing
2 demands and the processing capacities are normalized according to a processing
3 criterion.

1 30. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 29 wherein the processing
2 criterion comprises an algorithm speed.

1 31. (Previously Presented) The computer readable memory of claim 29 wherein the
2 processing criterion comprises a transaction speed.

1 32. (Previously Presented) The computer readable memory of claim 29 wherein the
2 processing capacities of the nodes are found according to a look-up table in which
3 different types of nodes have been normalized according to the processing criterion.

1 33. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 22 wherein the application
2 model further comprises storage demands for the services.

1 34. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 33 wherein the infrastructure
2 model further comprises storage capacities for the nodes.

1 35. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 34 wherein the integer
2 program further comprises storage constraints which ensure that a sum of the storage
3 demands for each of the nodes does not exceed the storage capacity for the node.

Atty. Dkt. No. 200209179-1

1 36. (Original) The computer readable memory of claim 22 wherein the integer
2 program further comprises placement constraints which ensure that each of the
3 services is placed on one and only one of the nodes.

1 37. (Canceled)

1 38. (Canceled)

1 39. (Currently Amended) The computer readable memory of claim 22[[38]] wherein
2 the integer program further comprises a second objective that seeks to minimize the
3 reassignment penalties.

1 40. (Canceled)